

HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

395 LAMPE, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs, Counterclaim  
Defendants,

v.

KAWISH, LLC, et al.,

Defendants, Counterclaim  
Plaintiffs, Third-Party  
Plaintiffs,

v.

WAYNE L. PRIM, et al.,

Third-Party Defendants.

CASE NO. C12-1503RAJ

ORDER

Having reviewed the parties' joint statement (Dkt. # 69), the court is satisfied that the parties have appropriately consolidated their disputes in this forum. The court notes that its review of the docket in the Nevada bankruptcy action demonstrates that the court granted relief from the automatic stay on May 28, but the parties did not notify the court of that decision. The court emphasizes that the parties must update this court on decisions that impact this action.

The joint statement identifies five issues that are ripe for consideration in this case. The parties' sole proposal for resolving them, however, is the suggestion that the court hold an evidentiary hearing on one of those issues.

ORDER – 1

1 The purpose of the joint statement was to demonstrate that the parties had ceased  
2 their apparent efforts to raise their claims in multiple forums. The joint statement serves  
3 that purpose. The parties must now explain how they will resolve the disputes they have  
4 brought here. To that end, the court directs the parties to provide a joint status report no  
5 later than July 12 in accordance with Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 16(a)(2).

6 The parties shall tailor their joint status report to explain precisely how the  
7 propose to resolve the issues they have raised, whether by way of dispositive motion, a  
8 “trial on the papers” procedure, by evidentiary hearing, or otherwise.

9 The court informs the parties that it is currently presiding over a criminal trial that  
10 is expected to last through August 9, with a civil trial set to begin immediately thereafter.  
11 If the parties believe an evidentiary hearing is appropriate, they should expect that it is  
12 highly unlikely to occur until late summer at the earliest. The court does not presently  
13 have time to conduct an in-court status conference, and thus strongly advises that the  
14 parties use their joint status report to thoroughly and specifically explain their plan for  
15 resolving this action.

16 DATED this 25th day of June, 2013.

17  
18  
19 

20 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
21 United States District Court Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28